

MENDELTY



# ACADEMIC RESEARCH Journal

# 2

# Volume 2 Issue 1

https://academicsresearch.ru/index.php/ijar



## INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC RESEARCH JOURNAL

Collection of scientific articles published is the scientific and practical publication, which contains scientific articles of students, graduate students, Candidates and Doctors of Sciences, research workers. The articles contain the study, reflecting the processes and changes in the structure of modern science. The collection of scientific articles is for students, postgraduate students, doctoral candidates, teachers, researchers, practitioners and people interested in the trends of modern science development.

### **Editorial Team**

Chief Editor **Trofimova Elena Konstantinovna** Doctor of Physics and Mathematics sciences, professors Editorial team

Makhanko Vadim Leonidovich - General Director of the Republican Unitary Enterprise "Scientific and Practical Center of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus for Potato and Horticulture", Candidate of Agricultural Sciences.

Saiganov Anatoly Semenovich - Head of the Department of the Republican Scientific Unitary Enterprise "Institute for System Research in the Agroindustrial Complex of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus", Doctor of Economics, Professor.

Brechko Elena Vladimirovna – Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor Avezimbetov Shavkat Dosumbetovich-Head of the Department "Veterinary and food safety control" of the Nukus branch of the Samarkand State University of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Husbandry and Biotechnology (Uzbekistan)

**Mironovich Evgeny** - Head of the Department of the Faculty of History and International Relations of the University of Bialystok, habilitated doctor, professor (Republic of Poland)

**Tolochko Petr Petrovich** - Honorary Director of the Institute of Archeology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor (Ukraine)

Makhmudova Durdona Mirkarimovna - Head of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology of Preschool Education, Tashkent State Pedagogical University (Uzbekistan)









https://academicsresearch.com/index.php/AR/index

### **VOLUME 1 ISSUE 7**

**30-JANUARY 2023** 

193 pages.



TABLE OF CONTENTS / СОДЕРЖАНЫЕ/ MUNDARIJA	
ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF INFOGRAPHICS	5
Solijonova Dilorom Abdusalam qizi, Ibadullayev Mamasodiq Sakhodullayevich	5
THE ROLE OF COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH IN TEACHING A FOREIGN	9
LANGUAGE	,
Mirzayeva Nasiba Baxtiyor qizi	
ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE	17
APPROACHES IN TEACHING PRESENT SIMPLE VERB FORMS	1/
Khasanova Maftuna Sherali kizi	
TRANSLATION ISSUES IN THE PRAGMATIC ASPECT	24
	24
Solieva Malika_Abduzuhurovna	
SPECIFIC CHARACTERISTICS OF METHODOLOGICAL COMPETENCE IN	34
TRAINING FUTURE SPECIALISTS	
Kuliyeva Shahnoza Halimovna, Nasriyeva Dilfuza Kadyrovna, Usmanova Elmira	
Rakhmatullayevna	
IMPROVING PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE OF PRESCHOOL EDUCATIONAL	42
ORGANIZATIONS BASED ON CREATIVE APPROACH	
Mamatkulova Shakhnoza Oktam qizi	
THE ROLE OF MANAGEMENT IN ENTERPRISES THAT HAVE IMPLEMENTED	46
A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	
BADALOV NOMOZ JURABOYEVICH RUSTAMOV NASIM TULEGENOVICH	
TECHNOLOGIES FOR TEACHING STUDENTS TO THINK CRITICALLY	56
F.A.Pulatova	
THE EXPERIENCE OF STUDYING SAMPLE FOREIGN LITERATURE IN THE	62
SYSTEM OF SECONDARY SCHOOL EDUCATION: A COMPARATIVE	
ANALYSIS	
Akindikov Nurmaxambet Borantayevich	
PSYCHOLOGY OF CRITICAL THINKING FOR STUDENTS	67
F.A.Pulatova	
TEACHING ENGLISH TO YOUNG LEARNERS WITH THE HELP OF	74
MULTIMEDIA IN ENHANCED LESSONS	
Boboyorova Maftuna Raxmonovna	
Asadova Chexrangiz Salim qizi	
Rustamova Shahnoza Aripovna	
THE ROLE OF TRANSLATION IN TEACHING FL	80
Abdullayev Asqar Abduhakimovich	
THE ROLE OF RESEARCH-BASED LEARNING TO ENHANCE RESEARCH AND	84
ACADEMIC WRITING SKILLS AND TYPES OF PROJECTS	
Holikova Laylo Fakhriddinovna	
DISCURSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TEXT OF THE FAIRY TALE GENRE	97
Nazarova Nurjahon Bahodirovna	
EXTRA-CURRICULUM INDEPENDENT WORK AND ITS ROLE OF LEARNING	103
ACTIVITIES OF LANGUAGE STUDENTS	100
Sodikova Yulduz Furqatovna	
LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF COMPLEX SENTENCES (ON THE MATERIAL OF	111
THE SCIENTIFIC TEXT)	
Khamrayeva Zebiniso Khaydar kizi	
THE ROLE OF DEICTIC EXPRESSIONS IN CONTEXT	120
Khandamov Laziz Akramovich Khandamova Feruza Bakhodirovna	120
ON THE HISTORICAL EXPLANATION OF THE CONQUEST OF THE ANCIENT	128
USTRUSHANA BY THE ARABS	140
USI KUSHANA DI INE AKADS	L



F.E. Toshboev Shohruh Jonuzokov Bo'riboy o'g'li	
	133
Abdurakhmanov Aziz Abduxaliqovich	
USE OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CAPACITIVE TRANSDUCER IN	140
DETERMINATION OF WATER CONTENT IN CRUDE OIL	
Nurullayev Orzikul Ubaevich	
	146
STANDARDIZATION, CERTIFICATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE	
NATIONAL METROLOGY SYSTEM IN AGRICULTURE.	
Altmishov Bobur Sunnatbekovich	
APPLICATION OF A TRANSFORMER CONVERTER WITH A DISCRETE	150
OUTPUT IN AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL SYSTEM.	
Muhammadiev Bakhtiyar Saparovich	
	156
<b>REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTERNATIONAL STANDARD</b>	
Shertayakov Gayrat Murodovich	
	163
SENSORS FACTORS OF ACHIEVEMENT AND RELIABLE OPERATION.	
Isroilov Fakhriddin Muradkasimovich Rakhmonov Furkat Abdukhakimovich Ungarov	
Dzhakhongir Yuldash ugli	
<b>CRITERIA FOR CREATING AN ELECTRONIC DICTIONARY OF</b>	170
GRAMMATICAL TERMS	
Sultanova Shakhnoza Akmal qizi	
USE OF INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS OF AUTOMATION OF PRODUCTION	178
PROCESSES.	
Ungarov Jakhongir Yuldash o'gli, Mamatkulov Shakhzod Jumanazar o'gli	
VIRTUALIZATION IN THE TRAINING OF ENGINEERS AS A FACTOR OF	184
INCREASING SCIENTIFIC EFFICIENCY	
Murodova Aziza	
STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF COMPOUND SENTENCES	190
Islomova Muattar Bozor kizi Usarov Ibrahimjon Korpashevich	





NAL IF-7.4January 2023ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OFINDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE APPROACHES INTEACHING PRESENT SIMPLE VERB FORMSKhasanova Maftuna Sherali kizi –EFL teacher of English Department atTashkent State University of Uzbek Language and Literaturehttps://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7538763

Abstract: The article explores the advantages and disadvantages of inductive and deductive approaches in teaching Present Simple verb forms, analyzes the lessons using both methods, which allows to draw conclusions about the feasibility of using the inductive method in teaching English grammar.

*Key words: inductive approach, deductive approach, Present Simple verb forms, explicit approach, implicit approach, innovative teaching method.* 

Learning grammar is an exciting process that introduces new concepts to the world, allows one to understand better the culture and traditions of a native speaker. Well-formed grammar skills can make speech correct and facilitate the communication process. Currently, grammar occupies a worthy place in teaching foreign languages as Richard and Renandya (2010) explain, "without studying grammar, students' speech skills would be very limited". There are two main approaches in teaching grammar:

1. Explicit (based on strictly following grammar rules)

2. Implicit (with no focus on grammar rules).

Within the framework of each of these approaches, two main methods have been formed, which are rooted in the strategy of these approaches, but differ significantly in principles, practical techniques, and sequence of actions.

At the present stage, these methods are rarely utilized in their "pure form". In the practice of teaching a foreign language, the teacher may vary the use of certain methods. The choice of the method depends on the age, the level of language



competence of students, the objectives of the course, as well as the features of the grammatical material itself.

The most widespread currently in the practice of teaching grammar is a differentiated approach based on the selective use of the provisions of two traditionally established approaches.

Within the framework of the implicit approach (without explaining the rules), a structural method is used, which is based on exercises for processing structural models, as well as a communicative method, which is characterized by the formation of grammatical skills in speech, i.e. familiarization and training are included in language practice. Grammatical phenomena are studied and assimilated not as a "form" and "structure", but as a means of expressing certain thoughts and communicative intentions. Learning takes place on a situational-functional basis, i.e. from the situation to the grammatical means used in speech. This method helps to implement the principle of communication and diversify speech contexts, but the creation of a truly speech environment presents certain inclinations.

The explicit approach (with an explanation of the rules) includes two methods – deductive and inductive. The deductive approach includes traditional teaching methods while the inductive approach reflects modern methodology and practice.

The deductive approach represents traditional teaching style, because first students learn grammatical structures and rules. This approach has a certain similarity with the grammar-translation method. The main task of students is to acquire grammatical structures and the rules. After, the teacher explains the syntactic constructions in each case.

The inductive approach is modern style of teaching, when new grammatical constructions and rules are presented to students in a real language context.

As in the case of the direct method, students are taught the structure of the language in a "real" situation, that is, in context. The structure of the sentence and text is understood during practice, that is, examples from real life are taken – subjects in the classroom, restaurant menus, posters, travel brochures, etc. are considered.



### January 2023

Both of these methods have their advantages and disadvantages. The deductive approach can be effective for those students who have already mastered the basic grammar structures of the language.

The inductive approach will allow students to operate with the language themselves, thereby confirming learning by practice. The inductive method is considered very effective in teaching English as a second language. However, it seems difficult for students accustomed to traditional teaching methods. Therefore, the teacher should be aware of the preparation and level of students, as well as take into account the objectives of the course and the features of the grammatical material in order to organize the lesson in a more productive way. Let us consider the use of deductive and inductive methods in teaching the tenses of the verb of the Simple group and analyze the advantages and disadvantages of both methods.

With the deductive approach, a rule is first studied, usually formulated using specific grammatical terms. For example, Present Simple denotes an ordinary, repetitive action, well-known truths, or an action that occurs on a regularly basis. Then students find this grammatical phenomenon or structure in sentences or in the text, name its form, explain in what meaning it is used in this context. These can be individual sentences, examples from fiction or short stories, short dialogues. Below are the sentences with verbs in the form of Present Simple:

- •*He works at the college.*
- •Water boils at 100 ℃.
- The train arrives at 2 o'clock.
- •*He goes to the gym every day*

Then the substitution exercises are performed by analogy with the sample. Then there is a transition to transformation exercises in accordance with the rule. Translation exercises are completed from the native language into English language. Students also compose situational texts, dialogues, interviews using the studied time, which provides an opportunity to use the studied structures in discourse. Thus, the lesson conducted based on the deductive method consists of three stages: presentation, practice and production (PPP).



### January 2023

Undoubtedly, this method has its advantages, as it implements the principles of consciousness, science, contributes to the formation of educational skills and abilities, can be used in independent work. It should also be noted some disadvantages: the difficulty of understanding grammatical terminology, grammar is often practiced on "faceless" sentences, outside of a coherent speech context. As a result, students correctly formulate the rule, explain the use of this time by examples, but find it difficult to use the acquired skill in speech.

Let us also consider the inductive method of teaching (from the individual to the general), in which students formulate a rule themselves, trying to comprehend a grammatical phenomenon through the context, determine its form and find out the patterns of its use. This approach has received the term Guided Discovery. Proponents of this method (Harmer, Scrivener) believe that students perceive the material provided to them better if they analyze the phenomena and formulate the rule themselves.

The lesson consists of the following stages. First, a text or a set of sentences is given, where a new grammatical phenomenon often occurs, including in contrast with already known grammatical phenomena / forms /structures. For example: Present Simple – Past Simple.

### *He goes to the gym every day. – He went to the gym yesterday.*

It is appropriate to use various hints in the text, for example, underlining or highlighting in different colors the features of the form of this grammatical structure, selecting such sentences where the context of using this structure is so unambiguous, clear and transparent that students will be able to easily deduce the rule.

For example:

Yesterday che got up at 7 o'clock and had a big breakfast. She walked to work, which took about half an hour. She started work at 8 o'clock. When she came home she cooked a meal.

The teacher can use concept-checking questions – CCQ to analyze the concept of the context:

Did she get up at 7 yesterday? – Yes, she did.



*Did she walk to work today? – No, she didn't. Does the text refer to the present or past? – To the past.* 

Next, students formulate the rules of the grammatical structure, corrected by the teacher. The teacher can use an already formed rule with missing terms:

Present Simple or Past Simple.

... is used to express an action which took place in the Past.

... is used to express habitual, repeated action.

After passing through the three-time forms of the Present Simple Tense, exercises are conducted to consolidate all three times.

At the final stage, various types of work are carried out to consolidate the material covered and use it in speech: drawing up dialogues, description of the picture, presentations, discussions on the proposed topics. Tests are conducted to check the material presented, correct the spotted errors. Then you can repeat the test (TTT – test, teach, test).

The inductive method has certain advantages, as it provides the implementation of problem-based learning and stimulates independent language observation. This method develops a guess based on the context and contributes to better memorization of the material being studied. However, it should be noted that not all linguistic phenomena can be explained inductively and learning by this method can take a lot of time. The choice of one or another method is determined by several factors: learning objectives, the level of students, the nature of grammatical material. While explaining the tense forms of the verb, in particular the Simple Tense, it is advisable to use the inductive method, since this material allows for the derivation of the rule by context by the students themselves. The use of the inductive method is possible in the classroom, where students already have some basic knowledge of English, which will allow using the "Guided Discovery" method of learning. The lesson conducted using this method will be interesting and rich, contributing to the development of language guesswork and observation among students.

### References



### January 2023

- 10.*Atadjanova M.A.* The development of foreign language teaching with new educational technologies. Московский государственный университет имени М.В.Ломоносова, научно-практической конференции, 2014. 101-103 стр.
- 11. Atadjanova M.A. The importance of using CEFR in the educational system of Uzbekistan. Московский государственный университет имени М.В. Ломоносова, научно-практической конференции, посвященной Году внимания и заботы о старшем поколении, 15-16 апреля 2015 года, Том VII, 1-часть, 5 секция, 187-190 стр.
- 12.Atadjanova M.A. The main problems of oral translation. "Tarjima nazariyasi va amaliyotining tilshunoslik sohalari bilan aloqasi: muammolar va yechimlar" Respublika ilmiy amaliy konferensiya maqolalar to'plami. 2015 yil 17-aprel, 291-293 bet
- 13.*Atadjanova M.A.* The problems of analyzing the lexico-semantic category of gender in the English and Uzbek langugages. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD), impact factor, 2021 yil aprel, 93-95 bet
- 14.*Brown H.D.* Principles of language learning and teaching. White Plains, NY. Brumfit, C., Mitchell, R., & Hooper, J., 1996.
- 15. *Hammerly, H.* «The Deduction/Induction Controversy». Modern Language Journal. LIX, 1975.
- 16.*Krashen, S.* Principles and practice in second language acquisition (pp. 65-78).Pergamon: Oxford, 1987.
- 17.Richards, Jack C. and Willy A. Renandya. «Approaches to Teaching». Methodology in Language Teaching. Ed. Jack C. Richards. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- 18.Richards, Jack C. and Willy A. Renandya. «Teaching Grammar». Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- 19.*Richards, J.C. & Rodgers, T.S.* Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching (2 nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2001



- 20.*Seliger H.W.* Inductive method and deductive method in language teaching: A re-examination. IRAL-International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching, 13 (1-4), 1-1, 1975.
- 21. *Zhang, Jianyun*. «Necessity of Grammar Teaching». International Educational Studies. 2.2, 2009.
- 22.*Shaffer, C.* A comparison of inductive and deductive approaches to teaching foreign languages. The Modern Language Journal, 73 (4), 1989.

